UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,455	06/01/2006	Michal Amit	32059	2318	
67801 7590 09/05/2008 MARTIN D. MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRTSI, INC. P.O. BOX 16446 ADJ INCTON. VA 22215			EXAMINER		
			TON, THAIAN N		
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/581,455	AMIT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thaian N. Ton	1632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ne 2006.						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
<i>;</i> —	/ 						
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-84</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>52-84</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
·— ·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, tollon of lonny 6 10 2 .					
<u> </u>	muianitu undan 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/a)	(d) on (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	a.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' preliminary amendment, filed 6/1/06, has been entered. Claims 1-51 are cancelled; claims 52-84 are newly added and currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 52-60, 74-82 drawn to an isolated stem cell or stem cell line carrying a disease-causing mutation in a genomic polynucleotide sequences, and methods of identifying an agent suitable for treating a disorder utilizing a <u>stem cell line</u>.

Group II, claim(s) 62-68, drawn to an isolated embryoid body comprising a plurality of cells at least some of which carry a disease-causing mutation in a genomic polynucleotide sequence, and methods of identifying an agent suitable for treating a disorder associated with at least one disease-causing mutation utilizing an embryoid body.

Group III, claim(s) 70-73, drawn to an isolated differentiated cell, tissue or organ carrying at least one disease-causing mutation in a genomic polynucleotide sequence thereof.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature fails add a contribution to the prior art. Particularly, it appears that the technical feature is an ES cell, or a derivative of an ES cell (such

Application/Control Number: 10/581,455

Art Unit: 1632

as an embryoid body, or differentiated cell) that comprises at least one disease-causing mutation in a genomic polynucleotide sequence. However, O'Neal (Hum. Mol. Genet. 2(10): 1561-1569, 1993, of record) teach a targeted gene disruption in mouse ES cells that causes severe phenotype in the resultant mice. See Abstract. Thus, an ES cell with a disease-causing mutation in a genomic polynucleotide sequence is known in the art. Accordingly, unity of invention is lacking.

Page 3

Unity of Invention between different categories of inventions will only be found to exist if specific combinations of inventions are present. Those combinations include:

- 1) A product and a special process of manufacture of said product
- 2) A product and a process of use of said product
- 3) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use of said product
- 4) A process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process
- 5) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple products, multiple methods of using said products, and methods of making multiple products as claimed in the instant invention.

37 CFR 1.475 (c) states that:

"If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combination of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present."

37 CFR 1.475 (d) states:

"If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c)."

37 CFR 1.475(e) states:

"The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternative within a single claim."

In the instant case, the claims are directed to multiple products (ES cells, embryoid bodies, and differentiated cells), each of which has a different structure and function. ES cells are undifferentiated cells, EBs contain a mixture of differentiated

Art Unit: 1632

and undifferentiated cells, with a particular cellular formation, and differentiated cells contain no undifferentiated cells. Additionally, in view of 37 CFR 1.475 (d), multiple products are not an allowed combination, and therefore, the Examiner has determined that the first recited invention is directed to ES cells, and the use of ES cells in a particular method. Note that the method claims encompass utilizing either ES cell or embryoid bodies. Therefore, if Applicants elect Group I, the claimed methods will only be examined with respect to utilizing an ES cell, and similarly, if Applicants elect Group II, these claimed methods will only be examined with respect to utilizing an embryoid body.

Sequence Election Requirement

Additionally, each of Groups I-III recites specific sequence numbers (see, for example, claims 57, 67, 73, 81). Each of the SEQ ID Nos constitutes independent inventions, which are patentably distinct because each of the sequences is unrelated as they are directed to distinct diseases, as such a further restriction is applied to the sequences. Therefore, for an elected Group, the Applicants must further elect a single sequence.

MPEP 803.04 states:

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seg. Nevertheless, to further aid the biotechnology industry in protecting its intellectual property without creating an undue burden on the Office, the Commissioner has decided sua sponte to partially waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. and permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. See Examination of Patent Containing Nucleotide Sequences, 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Although the MPEP deems that up to ten nucleotide sequences may be searched without restriction, the Commissioner has stated that, "The Office has reconsidered the policy set forth in the 1996 Notice in view of changes in the complexity of

Application/Control Number: 10/581,455

Art Unit: 1632

applications filed, the types of inventions claimed and the state of the prior art in this technology since that time. Because of these changes, the search and examination of up to ten molecules described by their nucleotide sequence often consumes a disproportionate amount of Office resources over that expended in 1996. Consequently, with this Notice the Office rescinds the partial waiver of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. for restriction practice in national applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), and 37 CFR 1.475 et seq. for unity of invention determinations in both PCT international applications and the resulting national stage applications under 35 U.S.C. 371." See Examination of Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequences 1316 OG 122 (March 27, 2007). For this reason, restriction to ONE SEQUENCE is being applied to all applications at this time.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thaian N. Ton whose telephone number is (571)272-0736. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30 M·F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/581,455

Art Unit: 1632

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thaian N. Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632